



ASCCP Research Grant Criteria 2023

PRIMARY SCORING CRITERIA

| PRIMARY CRITERIA | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| What is the clinical and scientific significance? | Research question is redundant, Information will not add to current knowledge, unlikely to impact on clinical practice | Limited scientific value, minimal relevance to clinical practice | Sound research question that may provide clinically relevant information | Interesting research question on a topical subject that is clinically important | Highly significant question on an important and novel research topic. Likely to change current clinical practice |
| SCORE | | | | | |
| Validity of the scientific approach? | The approach is unsafe, no valid or does not address the research question | The scientific approach addresses the hypothesis in a limited way, unlikely to meet research aims | The hypothesis is mainly addressed by the scientific approach | The approach is well established and will provide answers that will answer the research question | The methods clearly address the research question and will provide publishable and clinically relevant outcomes |
| SCORE | | | | | |
| Feasibility of the study? | Serious concerns about feasibility and quality of project | Some concerns about feasibility of major aspects of the project | Feasible although minor aspects may be questionable | Solid, likely and feasible. Possibly may lead to broader study/research | Highly feasible, likely to result in highly recognised publishable outcomes. Likely to lead to broader study/research |
| SCORE | | | | | |
| Overall suitability for an ASCCP grant? | Serious safety concerns, Ethical concerns, Project irrelevant to ASCCP | Limited relevance to ASCCP | Relevant to ASCCP but some aspects may be outside ASCCP activities | Resides within the goals and ethos of ASCCP | Highly relevant to the goals and ethos of ASCCP |
| SCORE | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | |



Further Considerations

SECONDARY SCORING CRITERIA

| SECONDARY CRITERIA | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| Appropriateness of budget? | Insufficient detail in budget | Unclear if budget is appropriate for project completion | Reasonable budget for expectations of project | Stand-alone budget directly applicable to project and likely to lead to completion | Stand-alone budget that clearly supports project in the desired timeframe |
| SCORE | | | | | |
| Availability of expertise and facilities? | Serious concerns about the ability to conduct research due to lack of expertise and/or facilities | Concerns about the research environment and facilities | Good facilities and some collaborators with past experience in project supervision and management | Quality research environment and high commitment to project Moderate track record of research supervision and project completion by collaborators | High quality research environment with strong commitment and track record of collaborators |
| SCORE | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | |